

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS  
CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

C.A. No. 06-726-JJF

C.A. No. 07-357-JJF

CONSOLIDATED CASES

**LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.'S ANSWER TO  
CHI MEI OPTOELECTRONICS CORPORATION'S COUNTERCLAIMS TO  
THE COUNTERCLAIMS OF LG DISPLAY CO., LTD.**

LG Display, Co., Ltd. ("LG Display"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files its Answer in Response to Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation's Counterclaims to the Counterclaims of LG Display in the above titled action, filed on May 14, 2008 as D.I. 205.

**RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS AS TO THE COUNTERCLAIMS**

1. The allegations in paragraph 1 of the Counterclaims are conclusions of law to which no response is required.
2. The allegations in paragraph 2 of the Counterclaims are conclusions of law to which no response is required.
3. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 3 of the Counterclaims.
4. LG Display denies the allegations of paragraph 4 of the Counterclaims.
5. The allegations in paragraph 5 of the Counterclaims are conclusions of law to which no response is required.

**RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS AS TO THE PARTIES**

6. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 6 of the Counterclaims.
7. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 7 of the Counterclaims.

**RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS AS TO JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8. LG Display admits that these Counterclaims are asserted under the Declaratory Judgment Act and the Patent Laws, but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 8 of the Counterclaims.

9. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 9 of the Counterclaims.
10. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 10 of the Counterclaims.
11. LG Display admits the allegations of paragraph 11 of the Counterclaims.

**RESPONSE TO COUNTERCLAIM COUNT I**

12. LG Display refers to and incorporates herein its responses to CMO's allegations in paragraphs 1-11, above, as though fully set forth herein.
13. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Counterclaims.
14. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 14 of the Counterclaims.

**RESPONSE TO COUNTERCLAIM COUNT II**

15. LG Display refers to and incorporates herein its responses to CMO's allegations in paragraphs 1-14, above, as though fully set forth herein.
16. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 16 of the Counterclaims.
17. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 17 of the Counterclaims.
18. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 18 of the Counterclaims.
19. LG Display denies the allegations in paragraph 19 of the Counterclaims.

**RESPONSE TO UNNUMBERED PARAGRAPH**

As to the unnumbered paragraph, including subparagraphs (a) through (e), LG Display denies the allegations contained therein and denies that CMO is entitled to the requested relief.

June 6, 2008

BAYARD, P.A.

/s/ Richard D. Kirk (rk0922)

Richard D. Kirk  
Ashley B. Stitzer  
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 900  
P.O. Box 25130  
Wilmington, DE 19899-5130  
rkirk@bayardfirm.com  
(302) 655-5000

Counsel for Plaintiff  
LG DISPLAY CO., LTD  
LG DISPLAY AMERICA, INC.

OF COUNSEL:

Gaspare J. Bono  
R. Tyler Goodwyn  
Lora A. Brzezynski  
McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP  
1900 K Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20006  
202-496-7500

{01027386;v1}